REMARKS

The Application has been carefully reviewed in light of the Office Action mailed December 17, 2003. Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and favorable action in this Application.

Claims 1-7 and 15-17 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by Moran. Independent Claims 1 and 15 recite in general the ability to receive hypothetical and display hypothetical demographic changes from the hypothetical demographic information resulting changes in order to see how a customer's standing changes in a By contrast, the Moran patent selected peer group. directed to a financial planning and advice system that allows a financial advisor to input information about a customer in The Moran patent has no order to provide financial advice. capability for a customer to input data into its financial planning and advice system let alone to include hypothetical demographic changes in order for a customer to see the effects of those changes in the selected peer group. Therefore, Applicant respectfully submits that Claims 1-7 and 15-17 are not anticipated by the Moran patent.

Claims 23-25 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by Horowitz, et al. Independent Claim 23 ". . . targeted marketing reports dynamically generated based on a set of decision rules, the set decision rules dynamically generated based on data received the customers." By contrast, the portions of Horowitz, et al. patent cited by the Examiner are merely directed to providing a fixed advice to a customer based on customer inputs. The Horowitz, et al. patent does not provide an ability to dynamically generate targeted marketing reports based on a set of decision rules that are themselves ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

dynamically generated based on customer data as provided in the claimed invention. Therefore, Applicant respectfully submits that Claims 23-25 are not anticipated by the Horowitz, et al. patent.

Claims 8-14, 18-22, and 26-28 stand rejected under U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Moran in view of Horowitz, et al. Independent Claim 1, from which Claims 8, 9, 11-14, 26, and 27 depend, and Independent Claim 15, from which Claims 18, 19, 21, 22, and 28 depend, have been shown above to be patentably distinct from the Moran patent. Moreover, the al. patent does not include any additional et material combinable with the Moran patent that would be material to patentability of these claims. Independent Claims 10 and 20 include similar limitations shown patentably distinct from the Moran be Moreover, the Horowitz, et al. patent does not include any additional material combinable with the Moran patent that would material patentability of these claims. be to Therefore, Applicant respectfully submits that Claims 8-14, 18-22, and 26-28 are patentably distinct from the proposed Moran - Horowitz, et al. combination.

Applicant notes that the Examiner has merely provided an omnibus rejection of the claims with vague citations to the prior art without a showing of how each and every element of each and every claim are shown in the prior art. In fact, not only has the Examiner not shown how each and every element of the independent claims are disclosed by the cited art, many of the elements of the dependent claims are not even mentioned by the Examiner in the Office Action. The Examiner made no correlation to any element of any claim with respect to the cited art. As a result, the grounds for rejection have not been fully and clearly stated pursuant to M.P.E.P. \$707.07.

26

Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests a complete and proper examination of the present Application to include a showing of how each and every element of each and every claim is disclosed in the prior art.

CONCLUSION

Applicant has now made an earnest attempt to place this case in condition for allowance. For the foregoing reasons and for other reasons clearly apparent, Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and full allowance of Claims 1-28.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fees or credit any overpayments to Deposit Account No. 02-0384 of BAKER BOTTS $_{\rm L,L,P}$.

Respectfully submitted

BAKER BOTTS L.L.P.

Attorneys for Applicants

Charles S. Fish

Req. No. 35,870

March 17, 2004

Correspondence Address:

BAKER BOTTS L.L.P.

2001 Ross Avenue, Suite 600

Dallas, TX 75201-2980

214.953.6507

Customer Number: 05073